UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,282	03/30/2004	Yasutaka Nakashiba	8008-1052	2273
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 03/08/201 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		JACKSON JR, JEROME		
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,282	NAKASHIBA, YASUTAKA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
Period for Reply	VIO OFT TO EVENDE - MONTH	(0) 00 THETA (00) BANG
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 / 2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second sec	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 23-48 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a controlled and the controlled and the correct to be a controlled and the cont	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/21/10.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo with O, APA, and further in view of Compton 2001/0002100.

Compton discloses variable capacitors (varactors) with much thinner dielectrics than the transistor gate dielectrics to increase the capacitance of the varactors relative to the transistors. The varactors have 3-4 femto-farads/square micron vs. 0.08 femto-farads/square micron for transistors. The capacitance is proportional to the dielectric thickness in this comparison, therefore the varactor dielectric thickness is lower than the transistor dielectric thickness. C/A~ɛd here in a simplified analysis. The voltage across the varactor is limited to around 1 volt to prevent substantial tunneling. The CMOS transistors in Compton operate at higher voltages than varactor voltages and comprise thicker gate oxides thereby increasing efficiency.

Kudo with O and APA suggest varactors integrated with CMOS wherein the CMOS gate dielectrics have different thicknesses, and wherein first and second diffusions may be practiced on different sides of the varactor plate to implement the varactor wiring. To implement a complicated communications circuit as O it would have been obvious to have practiced all the suggestions of the applied art to enable efficient

varactor design (from Compton) integrated with multiple gate thickness transistors to enable all the functions of the O circuit. The claims are obvious to one of ordinary skill, and it is clear from Compton varactors with the thinnest dielectrics are advantageously integrated with thicker gate dielectric transistors for better efficiency.

The particularly claimed dielectric thicknesses are considered within the teachings of the applied art or at least obvious to one of ordinary skill without any unexpected results as tunneling thicknesses are taught in the applied art for varactors.

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,282 Page 4

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerome Jackson Jr./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815